Interview Summary	Application No. Application No. Application No.		ant(s) Ohsumi	
	Examiner Dung Nguy	en	Art Unit 2871	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Dung Nguyen	(3)			
(2) Mark J. Henry	(4)		·	·
Date of Interview Sep 10, 2002	_			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🔀 No. If yes, br	ief descript	ion:	
Claim(s) discussed: all claims Identification of prior art discussed:				,
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: Applicant's representative has provided a proposed amend and their argument to show the differences between the been discused.	al nature of what was diment (amendment da	agreed to i	f an agreement v 2002 which is no	ot entered yet)
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary-thereof must be attached.)	copy of the amendme	ents that w	ould render the c	
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the sul	bstance of t	he interview (if t	oox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	MAL WRITTEN REPLY PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	TO THE LA	ST OFFICE ACT the last Office FILE A STATEME	ION MUST action has NT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's	s signature, if rec	uired